U.S. DISTRICT COURT AUGUSTA BIV.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2016 JUN 15 PM 2: 52

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

CLERK CACCOS
SO. DIST. OF GA.

AUGUSTA DIVISION

NATHAN JONES,)	
Petitioner,)),	
v.)	CV 116-063 (Formerly CR 106-108)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	ĺ	
Respondent.)	
	ORDER	

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed (doc. no. 4). The Magistrate Judge recommended dismissal of the motion filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 because Petitioner was not sentenced under the residual clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act ("ACCA"). Therefore, under controlling Eleventh Circuit precedent, the Supreme Court's decision in Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) does not apply to his case. (See doc. no. 2.) Specifically, because Petitioner was designated as a career offender under § 4B1.1 of the advisory sentencing guidelines, not under the ACCA, he is not entitled to relief, United States v. Matchett, 802 F.3d 1185, 1194 (11th Cir. 2015), and the cases cited in his objections are inapposite. Accordingly, the Court OVERRULES Petitioner's objections, ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its opinion, and DISMISSES the § 2255 motion.

Further, a federal prisoner must obtain a certificate of appealability ("COA") before appealing the denial of his motion to vacate. This Court "must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant." Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings. This Court should grant a COA only if the prisoner makes a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). For the reasons set forth in the Report and Recommendation, and in consideration of the standards enunciated in Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 482-84 (2000), Petitioner has failed to make the requisite showing. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** a COA in this case. Moreover, because there are no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal, an appeal would not be taken in good faith. Accordingly, Petitioner is not entitled to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Upon the foregoing, the Court CLOSES this civil action.

SO ORDERED this /5 day of June, 2016, at Augusta, Georgia.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹"If the court denies a certificate, a party may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22." Rule 11(a) to the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings.